Description of Award and Proposal Charts and Reports

Figure 1 shows the FY 2008 total awards received by agency type. Federal agencies awarded UO 71% of the 2008 total, and sub-federal or flow-through federal funds accounted for 16.7% of the 2008 total. Associations, States, Foundations, Corporations, and Other agencies amounted to a total of 12.3% of the 2008 total.

Figure 2 shows the federal and sub-federal funding to UO by federal agency. U.S. Department of Education, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, and the National Science Foundation were the top three agencies from which UO received awards in FY 2008.

Figure 3 provides a multi-year summary of public and private support. Awards are compared over a 20-year span in the categories of Direct Federal funds, Private funds, Oregon funds, and Other funds.

Figure 4 shows awards received over a 3-year period by Activity type: Research, Instruction, and Public Service.

Figure 5 shows FY 2008 proposals, awards, and expenditures by Research and Other Activity types.

Figure 6 spans five years to show the fluctuation in awards received and proposals submitted over the period.

Figure 7 represents the FY 2008 total awards by Activity type: Research, Instruction, and Public Service.

Figure 8 shows FY 2008 awards by the submitting unit/college. Forty eight percent of the awards were received in units under the Vice President for Research.

Figure 9 shows awards received by the home unit of the PI. The majority of our faculty has appointments in the College of Arts and Sciences.

Figure 10 spans six years to show the dollar amounts of awards received by submitting unit, organized by UO college.

Figure 11 spans six years to show the dollar amounts of awards received, organized alphabetically by submitting unit.

Figure 12 represents FY 2008 awards received, organized by PI and Co-PI home units with dollar amounts for each unit.

Figure 13 shows the amount of funding requested by application type.

Figure 14 reflects the number of proposals submitted by agency type.
Figure 15 reflects FY 2008 proposal submissions by agency type. Nearly 85% of UO’s proposal submissions were for federal or sub-federal flow-through money.

Figure 16 shows the federal and sub-federal proposal submissions by federal agency.

Figure 17 reflects the new and competitive renewal proposal applications listed by submitting college.

Figure 18 spans six years to show proposal dollars requested for the first year of the project by each UO college and unit.

Figure 19 spans six years to show proposal dollars requested, organized alphabetically by submitting unit.